

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,004	11/28/2001	Hiroshi Yamada	FUJI 19.210	FUЛ 19.210 6947	
26304	7590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE			HARRELL, ROBERT B		
	I, NY 10022-2585		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2142		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•					
<i>/</i> =	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/004,004	YAMADA, HIROSHI					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	Γ				
	Robert B. Harrell	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of		•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			er is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(а).				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 		duaina ar aimhlifuine	u tha iaawaa fau				
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	, the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	l (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. A The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	ance because:				
request for reconstructation has been considered bu	it accourses place the application i	ii sonanion ioi anowa	ii ioe because.				

Robert B. Harrell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2142

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Continuation of 13. Other:

In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 135(c), the applicant is also required to file in the Patent and Trademark Office a certified copy of PCT/JP99/04075 together with a translation thereof into the English language. While a translated copy has been received, but not entered, no certified copy of PCT/JP99/04075 is of record.

The English Language Translation has not been entered because it was not seasonably/timely filed (MPEP 716.01 and 37 C.F.R.

1.116(e)).

Robert B. Harrell **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2142